• 8) •	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/751,658	ANDERSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William H. Beisner	1744	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Under Final Rejection</u>		
(1) William H. Beisner.	(3)		
(2) <u>Ivan D. Zitkovsky</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 January 2004	Time: <u>13:30</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE			
Claims discussed: Claim 97	·		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the in	n summary of the substance nterview, since the interview	
hold Hell	·		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative Sign	nature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Zitkovsk;y was informed that the after final response filed 02 Jan. 2004 had been received and that the terminal disclaimer was being processed by the paralegals. The examiner also inquired about claim 97 which depends from itself. Mr. Zitkovsky informed the examiner that claim 97 should depend from claim 96. The examiner will correct claim 97 by examiner's amendment..